EX PARTE OR LATE FILED

#### Dow, Lohnes & Albertson, PLLC

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

DOCKET FILE CODY CHICIMA

J. G. HARRINGTON DIRECT DIAL 202-776-2818 jharrington@dowlohnes.com WASHINGTON, D.C.

I 200 NEW HAMPSHIRE AVENUE, N.W. SUITE 800 - WASHINGTON, D.C. 20036-6802
TELEPHONE 202-776-2000 - FACSIMILE 202-776-2222
www.dowlohnes.com

ONE RAVINIA DRIVE - SUITE 1600 ATLANTA, GEORGIA 30346-2108 TELEPHONE 770-901-8800 FACSIMILE 770-901-8874

# December 13, 2005 RECEIVED ORIGINAL

DEC 1 3 2005

Marlene H. Dortch, Esq. Secretary Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, SW, Room 8B201 Washington, DC 20554

Federal Communications Commission Office of Secretary

Re:

Federal-State Joint Board on Universal Service

Universal Service Contribution Methodology Second Further Notice CC Docket Nos. 96-45, 98-171, 90-571, 92-237, 99-200, 95-116

and 98-170

NSD File No. L-00-72

Notice of Oral Ex Parte Communications

Dear Ms. Dortch:

I am writing this letter to report that, on December 12, 2005, Alexandra Wilson, Vice President, Public Policy of Cox Enterprises, Inc. and the undersigned, both representing Cox Communications, Inc., met to discuss the above-referenced proceeding with Michelle Carey, legal advisor to Chairman Martin and with Narda Jones, Chief of the Telecommunications Access and Policy Division of the Wireline Competition Bureau, Cathy Carpino, Deputy Chief o the division, Carol Pomponio and Greg Guice of the division, James Lande and James Eisner of the Industry Analysis and Technology Division of the Wireline Competition Bureau and Amy Bender of the Wireline Competition Bureau.

During the meetings, we discussed the issues raised in this proceeding, including the desirability of adopting a numbers-based system, mechanisms for implementing such a system, the allocation of contributions between residential and business services and contributions for high-capacity services sold to business customers. Cox's positions on these issues were described on a handout provided to the Commission participants. A copy of the handout is attached to this notice.

In accordance with the requirements of Section 1.1206 of the Commission's rules, an original and one copy of this notice are being filed in CC Docket No. 96-45 and two copies of this notice are being filed in the other above-referenced dockets on the business day following the meetings and a copies of this notice are being provided to the Commission participants in the meetings.

No. of Copies recid OT List A B C D E

Marlene H. Dortch, Esq. December 13, 2005 Page 2

Please inform me if any questions should arise in connection with this notice.

Respectfully submitted,

J.G. Harrington

Counsel to Cox Communications, Inc.

JGH/vll

Attachment

cc (w/o att.): Michelle Carey

Narda Jones Cathy Carpino Carol Pomponio Greg Guice James Lande James Eisner Amy Bender



# COX COMMUNICATIONS, INC. UNIVERSAL SERVICE CONTRIBUTION METHODOLOGY CC DOCKET NOS. 96-45 ET AL.

#### > The Commission Should Adopt a Numbers-Based Contribution System

A numbers-based system will ensure that all providers contribute appropriately to the support of universal service. It also equitably distributes the burden in a way that correlates to the benefits carriers and customers obtain from the public switched telephone network, which the current system does not do.

Any numbers-based system should require contributions based only on numbers used by a carrier, which requires the Commission to account for numbers ported in and ported out in any calculations.

### > The Commission Should Maintain the Current Balance of Contributions from Consumer and Business Services

However the Commission modifies its rules, it should ensure that consumer services do not contribute more than their current share of the universal service fund. To achieve this goal, the Commission may need to impose a larger per-number contribution on business services than on residential services.

The Commission also should require contributions from high-capacity business services, as it does today, but should be careful to ensure that contribution levels do not create disincentives to purchase services at higher capacities.